

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/809,360	03/26/2004	Kenjiro Hori	03500.017985	7573		
5514 7	590 06/29/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			HOANG	HOANG, TU BA		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2832			
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/809,360	HORI, KENJIRO
Examiner	Art Unit
Tu Ba Hoang	2832

	Tu ba Hoang	2032	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
I. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply r	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for tter than SIX MONTHS from the mail	th in the final rejection, wh ing date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. In ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amour chortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see N	et, will <u>not</u> be entered b OTE below);	ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belog) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	, , ,	•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
		Tu Ba Hoang	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2832

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendment made to claims 1 and 11 introducing "a switching converter" which raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.